UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,666	12/28/2004	Manfred Wittenstein	04-616	7412
	7590 01/23/200 LAPOINTE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL STREET SUITE 1201			KOEHLER, CHRISTOPHER M	
NEW HAVEN,	CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,666	WITTENSTEIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher M. Koehler	3726
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 C This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21,22 and 24 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-20,23 and 25-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	withdrawn from consideration. or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/519,666 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species C in the reply filed on 10/21/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examination of all the claims would not present an undue burden. This is not found persuasive because although the invention is modular, the claims as presented create an unreasonable burden on the examiner to search every possible claimed combination of the assembly.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 17-20, 23 and 25-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 17 and 34 are indefinite in scope because of the broad and generalized terminology used in conjunction with reference characters which are not considered to be substantive claim limitations. The claims are replete with reference characters which attempt to identify different structure contained therein, however, when the claims are read without the reference characters it is difficult to ascertain the metes and bounds of the claim limitations sufficiently to conduct a proper search or examine the claims in light of the prior art. The elements of the claims should be sufficiently described and identified in the specification such that the reference characters would be wholly

Application/Control Number: 10/519,666 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

unnecessary in the claims, however, the terminology is such that the examiner meets great difficulty in determining what scope the applicant intends to encompass with terms like "mounted part", "different subassemblies", "casing parts" and "output stage".

5. Since the depending claims suffer the same deficiency they are equally indefinite as the examiner can not accurately determine the metes and bounds of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 7. Claims 17-20, 23 and 25-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siebenhaar (DE 26 49 949).

Claims 17 and 34 (as best understood):

Siebenhaar teaches a system for producing gearboxes (figure A) comprising a plurality of different subassemblies, inherently having some form of kinematics, comprising different mounting means for assembling certain subassemblies (figure A). Claims 18-20, 23, 25-33, 35 and 36 (as best understood):

Siebenhaar teaches that the several subassemblies can be assembled in a modular manner such that it anticipates the claims (figure A).

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory

Art Unit: 3726

obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 17-20, 23 and 25-36 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-37 of copending Application No. 10/519,667. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because as best understood the claims of the copending application anticipate the limitations and obvious variations of the claims in the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Koehler whose telephone number is (571)272-3560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30A-4:00P.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,666 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. M. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 3726

/DAVID P. BRYANT/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726